

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/807,512	SCHRIER ET AL.
	Examiner MINH-TAM DAVIS	Art Unit 1642

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MINH-TAM DAVIS. (3) _____.

(2) NITA MUKHERJEE. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.


Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 15, 22 are allowable. Applicant will submit new claims for the amino acid sequence consisting of SEQ ID NO:11 or 12.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

SUSAN UNGAR, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER


Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required